No. 9400188

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

Northrup King Co.

Whereas, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF *eighteen* YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT TY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT

'Coker 9474'

In Testimony Whereof, *I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the* Plant Variety Protection Office *to be affixed at the City of* Washington, D.C. *this* 29th *day of* July *in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and* ninety-four.

Attest:

Kenneth A. Evans

Commissioner
Plant Variety Protection Office
Agricultural Marketing Service

Mike Espy

Secretary of Agriculture

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE

(Instructions on reverse)

Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).

1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) *(as it is to appear on the Certificate)*
Northrup King Company

2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NO.
L880437

3. VARIETY NAME
Coker 9474

4. ADDRESS *(street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)*
P.O. Box 959
Minneapolis, MN 55440

5. PHONE *(include area code)*
612/593-7333

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

PVPO NUMBER
9400188

FILING
Date: May 23, 1994
Time: ☐ A.M. ☐ P.M.

FEES RECEIVED
Filing and Examination Fee: $2150 + 175.00
Date: 5/16/94 & 6/13/94
Certificate Fee: $250.00
Date: June 28, 1994

6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME
Triticum aestivum

7. FAMILY NAME *(Botanical)*
Gramineae

8. CROP KIND NAME *(Common Name)*
Soft Red Winter Wheat

9. DATE OF DETERMINATION
May 1988

10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZATION *(Corporation, partnership, association, etc.)*
Corporation

11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION
Delaware

12. DATE OF INCORPORATION
1976

13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS
Northrup King Company
P.O. Box 949
Washington, IA 52353-0949
Attn: John Thorne
PHONE *(Include area code)*: 319/653-6645

14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED *(Follow INSTRUCTIONS on reverse)*

a. [X] Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety
b. [X] Exhibit B, Novelty Statement
c. [X] Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety
d. [X] Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety
e. [X] Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership
f. [X] Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds) Date Seed Sample mailed to Plant Variety Protection Office _____
g. [X] Filing and Examination Fee ($2,150) made payable to "Treasurer of the United States."

15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? *(See section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act.)*
[X] YES *(If "YES," answer items 16 and 17 below)* ☐ NO *(If "NO," skip to item 18 below)*

16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?
[X] YES ☐ NO

17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PRODUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
[X] FOUNDATION [X] REGISTERED [X] CERTIFIED

18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U.S.?
☐ YES *(If "YES," through* ☐ Plant Variety Protection Act ☐ Patent Act. Give date _____.)
[X] NO

19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR OTHER COUNTRIES?
[X] YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates) U.S.A. Fall of 1993
☐ NO

20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds of this variety will be furnished with the application and will be replenished upon request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable.

The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexually reproduced novel plant variety, and believe(s) that the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.

Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protection and result in penalties.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT *(Owner(s))*	CAPACITY OR TITLE	DATE
John C Thorne	Res. Dir., Self-pollinated Crops	May 12, 1994
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT *(Owner(s))*	CAPACITY OR TITLE	DATE

Exhibit A
Origin and Breeding History of Coker 9474

SEASON	GENERATION	ACTIVITY
1983-84		Cross: IN71761A4-31-5-48 x Wheeler, where IN71761A4-31-5-48 was an unreleased breeding line from Purdue University with a complete pedigree involving Benhur, Arthur, Knox 62, PI 94583, and Ribiera.
1984-85	F_1	Grown in field, plants bulked at harvest
1985-86	F_2	Field grown at Bay, AR; selected 100 individual heads from plants with resistance to leaf rust.
1986-87	F_3	Head rows grown at Bay, AR; Head Row #492 selected and bulked based on resistance to leaf rust.
1987-88	F_4	Grown as 10' observation plots, plot #1864 selected and harvested based on uniformity and resistance to leaf rust.
1988-89	F_5	Preliminary yield trials, advanced based on yield, uniformity and disease resistance. Assigned breeding number L880437.
1989-90	F_6	Yield testing in advanced company trials. Small increase block at Bay, AR.
1990-91	F_7	Elite company trials. Small increase with nested head rows at Bay, AR.
1991-92	F_8	Continued company testing in elite yield trials, and USDA Uniform Eastern Soft Red Wheat Nursery; Breeder seed produced by Production Department, with continued purity increases by research of 10' nested plots.
1992-93	F_9	Further testing in company trials and the USDA Uniform Eastern Soft Red Wheat Nursery. Foundation seed produced and approved by the Arkansas State Plant Board. Released as COKER 9474.
1993-94	F_{10}	Registered seed produced
1994-95	F_{11}	Certified seed produced and sold to farmers.

COKER 9474 is a uniform, stable variety but may contain the rare taller and earlier maturing ~~offtype~~ variant plant at a frequency not exceeding 5/10,000. During five years of testing and four years of seed increase we have observed no other ~~offtypes~~ variants.

↑ ASTA 11 July 1994 rec letter

COKER 9474

Exhibit B. Novelty Statement

COKER 9474 most closely resembles COKER 9803. The two varieties can be distinguished based on coleoptile pigmentation, winter growth habit, resistance to Hessian Fly biotypes and 1,000 kernel weight (see Table 1). COKER 9474 has purple coleoptiles and COKER 9803 has white. COKER 9474 has a prostrate winter growth habit and COKER 9803 has an intermediate growth habit. COKER 9474 is resistant to Hessian Fly biotypes GP, B, D, C, and E; COKER 9803 is moderately resistant only to biotype E. COKER 9474 has a higher 1,000 kernel weight than COKER 9803.

Table 1. Distinguishing Characteristics

	Coleoptile	Growth Habit	Hessian Fly	1,000* Kernel Wt
COKER 9474	**Purple**	**Prostrate**	**RS-GP,B,D,C,&E**	**32.60 gms**
COKER 9803	White	Intermediate	MR - E	30.30 gms
C.V.				3.19
LSD (.05)				1.98

*Averaged over 2 years, 1 location.

FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
COMMODITIES SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT DIVISION
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C
(Wheat)

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY
WHEAT (*TRITICUM SPP.*)

INSTRUCTIONS: *See Reverse.*

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)
Northrup King Company

ADDRESS (*Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code*)
P.O. Box 959 Attn: John Thorne
Minneapolis, MN 55440

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
PVPO NUMBER 9400188
VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
Coker 9474

Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this variety in the boxes below.
Place a zero in first box (e.g. [0][8][9] or [0][9]) when number is either 99 or less or 9 or less.

1. KIND:
[1] 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT 5 = POLISH 6 = POULARD 7 = CLUB

2. TYPE:
[2] 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (*Specify*) _____ [1] 1 = SOFT 2 = HARD 3 = OTHER (*Specify*) _____

[2] 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (*Specify*) _____

3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:
[1][8][8] FIRST FLOWERING [1][9][3] LAST FLOWERING

4. MATURITY (*50% Flowering*):
[0][2] NO. OF DAYS *EARLIER* THAN ..... [7] 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS 7=Coker 9766
[0][2] NO. OF DAYS *LATER* THAN ..... [8] 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS 8=Coker 916

5. PLANT HEIGHT (*From soil level to top of head*):
[0][9][1] CM. HIGH

[0][1] CM. TALLER THAN ..... [7]
[0][7] CM. SHORTER THAN ..... [8] 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS 7=Coker 9803 8=Coker 9877

6. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (*See reverse*):
[2] 7.5GY 4/4-4/6 Munsell Color Chart
1 = YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN

7. ANTHER COLOR:
[1] 1 = YELLOW 2 = PURPLE

8. STEM:
[1] Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
[2] Waxy bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
[2] Hairiness of last internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
[1] Internodes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
[0][4] NO. OF NODES (*Originating from node above ground*)
[2][4] CM. INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF AND LEAF BELOW

9. AURICLES:
[2] Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
[2] Hairiness: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT

10. LEAF:
[2] Flag leaf at booting stage: 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED 3 = OTHER (*Specify*): _____
[1] Flag leaf: 1 = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
[1] Hairs of first leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
[2] Waxy bloom of flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
[1][3] MM. LEAF WIDTH (*First leaf below flag leaf*)
[1][8] CM. LEAF LENGTH (*First leaf below flag leaf*):

9400188

11. HEAD:

[3] Density: 1 = LAX 2 = DENSE 3=Mid-Dense

[4] Shape: 1 = TAPERING 2 = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE 4 = OTHER (Specify) Oblong

[3] Awnedness: 1 = AWNLESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED 3 = AWNLETED 4 = AWNED

[7] Color at maturity: 1 = WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = RED 5 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHER (Specify): Tan 2.5Y 8/4-8/6 Munsell Color Chart

[0][8] CM. LENGTH [1][2] MM. WIDTH

12. GLUMES AT MATURITY:

[2] Length: 1 = SHORT (CA. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.) 3 = LONG (CA. 9 mm.)

[2] Width: 1 = NARROW (CA. 3 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 3.5 mm.) 3 = WIDE (CA. 4 mm.)

[3-4] Shoulder shape: 1 = WANTING 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED 4 = SQUARE 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE

[1] Beak: 1 = OBTUSE 2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE

13. COLEOPTILE COLOR:

[3] 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = PURPLE

14. SEEDLING ANTHOCYANIN:

[2] 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT

15. JUVENILE PLANT GROWTH HABIT:

[1] 1 = PROSTRATE 2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = ERECT

16. SEED:

[1] Shape: 1 = OVATE 2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL

[1] Cheek: 1 = ROUNDED 2 = ANGULAR

[2] Brush: 1 = SHORT 2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG

[1] Brush: 1 = NOT COLLARED 2 = COLLARED

[4-5] Phenol reaction (See instructions): 1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROWN 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK

[5] Color: 1 = WHITE 2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE 5 = OTHER (Specify) Medium Brown 7.5YR 5/6-5/8 Munsell Color Chart

[0][6] MM. LENGTH [0][3] MM. WIDTH [3][3] GM. PER 1000 SEEDS

17. SEED CREASE:

[2] Width: 1 = 60% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA' 2 = 80% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS' 3 = NEARLY AS WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'

[2] Depth: 1 = 20% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT' 2 = 35% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS' 3 = 50% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI'

18. DISEASE: (0 = Not Tested, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)

[3] STEM RUST (Races) ____ [2] LEAF RUST (Races) ____ [0] STRIPE RUST (Races) ____ [0] LOOSE SMUT

[1] POWDERY MILDEW [0] BUNT [3] OTHER (Specify) Soil borne-virus complex

3=Moderately Resistant

Southeast

19. INSECT: (0 = Not Tested, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)

[0] SAWFLY [0] APHID (Bydv.) [0] GREEN BUG [0] CEREAL LEAF BEETLE

[ ] OTHER (Specify) ____ HESSIAN FLY RACES: [2] GP [ ] A [2] B [2] C [2] D [2] E [ ] F [ ] G

20. INDICATE WHICH VARIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT SUBMITTED:

CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant tillering	Coker 9803	Seed size	Coker 9803
Leaf size	Coker 9543	Seed shape	Coker 9803
Leaf color	Coker 9803	Coleoptile elongation	Coker 9134
Leaf carriage	Coker 9105	Seedling pigmentation	Coker 9543

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form.

(a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.

(b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

COKER 9474

Exhibit D. Additional Description

Table 2. Milling and Baking Quality

All evaluations have been conducted by the USDA Soft Wheat Quality Lab at Wooster, Ohio. COKER 9474 scores have been rated satisfactory. Scores are adjusted to a standard known to exhibit excellent quality. Quality data is presented in Table 2.

	Test Identity					
	1990-91 CE		1991-92 CE		1991-92 UEN	
MILLING PARAMETERS	FL302	C 9474	C 9543	C 9474	Caldwell	C 9474
Test Wt (lb/bu)	58.3	60.9	60.5	61.4	57.1	59.4
St. gr. Flour Yield	77.2	75.3	77.0	76.2	74.6	72.2
Softness Equiv.	58.8	56.4	59.5	54.0	61.1	50.4
Score	100.0	92.9	100.0	94.2	100.0	85.5
BAKING PARAMETERS						
Flour protein %	8.82	10.0	8.89	10.5	9.65	10.93
Micro AWRC %	56.5	58.6	53.4	54.3	56.0	58.0
Cookie dia. cm	17.5	17.6	17.5	17.1	16.9	16.4
Top Grain	4	5	3	3	1	1
Score	100.0	94.4	100.0	85.0	100.0	75.5

CE - Northrup King's Commercial Elite Test
UEN - Uniform Eastern Soft Wheat Nursery

Leaf Rust Resistance

Coker 9474 expressed a resistant reaction to 10 of 12 isolates, and Lr9 was postulated as the leaf rust gene present. Leaf rust ratings were made in 1992 by David Long; USDA-ARS Cereal Rust Lab; University of Minnesota; St.Paul, MN (Table 3).

TABLE 3

LEAF RUST TEST:

Twelve isolates of leaf rust were inoculated into these lines, representing common virulence combinations that were identified from collections made throughout the U.S. The single gene lines we compared to include Lr 1, 2a, 2c, 3a, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 24, 26, 30, 3ka.

Reaction Produced by Isolates
Rust Isolates Possible

Variety	LBBQ	DBBL	BGDL	PBMG	PLMQ	MDGL	TFBL	TDBL	TBGL	TDJQ	TLGG	MBGB	Lr genes
NKPro 812	;1c	;	3	;	;1c1	;1c	;1c	2c	;1c	;2c	;1c	;1c	16
NKPro 814	3	;	;	3	;1c	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1+ *
Coker 9877	0;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	9,24(?)
Coker 9024	0;	;	;	;	;1c2	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
Coker 9105	;	;	;	;	;1c1	;	;	;1c	;	;	3	;	9,11
Coker 9803	X	;2	;1c	X	X	X	3	X	X	3	;1c	;	+
Coker 9835	;	;	;	;	;	0;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
Coker 9907	;	;	0;	;	;	;	0;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
Coker 9543	X	;	;	X	;	3	;1c	;1c	3	2c-3	2c-3	3	3,11+
Coker 9134	X	X	;1c	X	X	3	;2	;2	3	3	3	3	3,11
Coker 9904	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
Coker 9474	;	;	;	;	3	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9
Coker 9766	;	;	;	;	;1c	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
Coker 983	3	;2	;1c	X	3	X	X	X	X	3	;1c	;	10,18
Coker 916	X	X	;	;1	;1c	3	X	;-3	3	3	;	;	10,11+
Coker 747	X	3	;1c	3	3	;1c	31c;	;31c	X	;1c2c	;1c	;3	10+
Coker 762	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
Coker 9227	;1c	;1	;1c	X	;1c2	;1c	;1c2	;1c	;1c	3	3	;3	11,18
Coker 9323	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
Coker 9733	;	;	;	;	;	--	3	3	;	3	;	;	24
Coker 833	;	;	;	;	3;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	9,24?
McNair 1003	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	--	3	0
TN 101	;	;	;	;	X	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
L 860434	;	;	;	;	X	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11

DATA FROM: David Long
USDA-ARS Cereal Rust Lab
University of Minnesota
St. Paul, MN

4

9400183

TABLE 3 Continued

Variety	LBBQ	DBBL	BGDL	PBMG	PLMQ	MDGL	TFBL	TDBL	TBGL	TDJG	TLGG	MDGB	gene
L 870537	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	;	0
L 881060	;	;	;	;	;	3	3	3	;-3	3;1c	;	;	24+
L 890682	X	X	X	--	X	3	X	X	3	--	3x	;3	10,11
L 890690	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	;	3	;	9,11
L 890714	;	;	;	;	;	;	3	;1c2	;	;	;	;	11,26+
L 900819	;-3	;-3	;	;	3;	;	;-3	;	;	;-3	;1c2	;2	+

* Lr 34 Adult plant gene

VIRULENCE FORMULA Virulence/Avirulence

LBBQ	Lr1,10,18/2a,2c,3,9,11,16,17,24,26,30,3Ka
DBBL	Lr2c,10/1,2a,3,9,11,16,17,18,24,26,30,3Ka
BGDL	Lr10,16,17/1,2a,2c,3,9,11,18,24,26,30,3Ka
PBMG	Lr1,2c,3,18,30,3Ka/2a,9,10,11,16,17,24,26
PLMQ	Lr1,2c,3,9,10,18,30,3Ka/2a,11,16,17,24,26
MDGL	Lr1,3,10,11,24/2a,2c,9,16,17,18,26,30,3Ka
TFBL	Lr1,2a,2c,3 10,24,26/9,11,16,17,18,30,3Ka
TDBL	Lr1,2a,2c,3,10,24/9,11,16,17,18,26,30,3Ka
TBGL	Lr1,2a,2c,3,10,11/9,16,17,18,24,26,30,3Ka
TDJQ	Lr1,2a,2c,3,10,11,17,18,24/9,16,26,30,3Ka
TLGG	Lr1,2a,2c,3,9,11,18/10,16,17,24,26,30,3Ka
MBGB	Lr1,3,11/2a,2c,9,10,16,17,18,24,26,30,3Ka

COKER 9474

Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of Applicants Ownership

Soft Red Winter Wheat variety Coker 9474 was developed by the Northrup King Company cereals breeding staff from germplasm sources cited in Exhibit A of this application. Northrup King Company believes that the variety is novel as defined in the Plant Variety Protection Act and, therefore, that Northrup King Company is the sole owner of the variety.